

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

A Comparative Study on Infiltration Models in an Agricultural area, Chengannur taluk

Sona Sajan¹, Teenu Sony², Jasmine Jose³, Amritha Austin⁴, Anna Thomas⁵

U.G. Students, Department of Civil Engineering, St. Thomas College of Engineering and Technology, Chengannur,
Kerala, India^{1 2 3 4}

Assistant Professor, Ms Anna Thomas, Department of Civil Engineering, St. Thomas College of Engineering And
Technology, Chengannur, Kerala, India⁵

ABSTRACT: Infiltration is treated as one of the important parameter of the hydrological cycle as far as ground water recharge is concerned. It depends on soil characteristics such as soil texture, hydraulic conductivity, soil structure, vegetation cover, porosity, permeability, degree of saturation etc. Determination of infiltration rates is useful for mitigation of hydrological risk and reflects the future of ground water resources in the study area. Infiltration of water into the soil is determined by a simple instrument called Double ring infiltrometer. Infiltration models are the empirical formulae developed using infiltration concepts which is used to determine the infiltration rate of soil. One way ANOVA test was performed to find the significance of the infiltration models. In the present study an attempt is made to validate the Horton's model, Green ampt model and Kostiakov's infiltration model with observed field data for an agricultural area, Chengannur. From the present study significant model for the particular site is determined by using decision factor analysis.

KEYWORDS: **Infiltration;** Double Ring Infiltrimeter; Horton's Model; Kostiakov's Model; Green Ampt Model; One Way ANOVA; Correlation Coefficient.

I. INTRODUCTION

Infiltration capacity may be defined as the maximum rate at which a given soil at a given time can absorb water. It depends upon a large number of factors such as characteristics of the soil, vegetative cover, condition of the soil surface, soil temperature, water content of the soil, rainfall intensity, etc. Infiltration of water into the soil can be determined by a simple instrument called Double ring infiltrometer. The cylindrical ring made up of stainless steel are partially inserted into the soil and filled with water, after which the speed of infiltration is measured. Two cylinders of different diameter are taken for this experiment. The outer ring limits the lateral spread of water after infiltration. The field work for finding the infiltration rate is time consuming and is troublesome. There are certain infiltration models using which we can find the infiltration rate without any field work. The infiltration models selected for the present study are Horton's model, Green ampt model and Kostiakov's model.

II. RELATED WORK

2. The double ring infiltrometer which consists of two concentric hollow rings or cylinders is driven into the soil uniformly without any tilt and disturbing the soil, to the least depth of 15 cm. The diameter of the inner ring is 30 cm and that of outer ring is 60 cm. Water is applied in both the inner and outer rings. The water depth in the outer ring should be kept the same during the observation period. The measurement includes the recording of volume of water added into the inner compartment, to maintain the constant water level and the corresponding elapsed time. As the purpose of the outer ring is to suppress the lateral percolation of water from the inner ring, the water added to it need

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

not be measured though water is added to it to maintain the same depth as the inner ring. Observations are continued till constant infiltration rate is observed.



Figure 1: Double Ring Infiltrometer

2.1. Infiltration Models

2.1.1. Horton's Model

Horton expressed decrease of infiltration capacity with time as an exponential function.

$$F = f_c + (f_0 - f_c) e^{-kt}$$

F- Infiltration capacity at any time

F_c - Final steady state infiltration capacity

F_0 - Initial infiltration capacity

t -Time in hours

k-Horton's constant representing rate of decrease in infiltration capacity

2.1.2. Green Ampt's Model

Green Ampt presented an approach based on fundamental equation

$$F = K \left(\frac{\Psi \Delta \theta}{F} + 1 \right)$$

F- Infiltration capacity

K- Effective hydraulic conductivity

Ψ - Suction head at wetting front

$\Delta \theta$ - Increase in moisture content

F- Cumulative infiltration

2.1.3. Kostiakov's Model

$$F = K_k t^{\alpha}$$

F- Infiltration capacity

t -Time after infiltration starts

K_k, α -Graphical parameters

2.2. Statistical Parameters

Three statistical parameters such as one way ANOVA test, correlation coefficient and standard error was used for comparing the observed values of infiltration rate with the infiltration models.

2.2.1. One Way Anova

The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the means of an independent group and related dependent groups. The level of significance of various infiltration models with the observed infiltration rate from the field was found out using one way ANOVA test.

Total sum of squares = Sum of squares between groups + Sum of squares within groups

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

2.2.2. Correlation Coefficient

A correlation coefficient is a number that quantifies a type of correlation and dependence meaning statistical relationships between two or more values in fundamental statistics. Pearson's r, a measure of the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two variables that is defined as the covariance of the variables divided by the product of their standard deviations. The range of values for the correlation coefficient is from -1.0 to 1.0. A correlation of -1.0 indicates a perfect negative correlation, while a correlation of 1.0 indicates a perfect positive correlation. If the correlation is 0, this simply means there is no relationship between the two variables. The strength of the relationship varies in degree based on the value of the correlation coefficient.

2.2.3. Standard Error of Correlation Coefficient

The standard error of the correlation coefficient is a parameter which measures how precisely the model estimates the coefficient's unknown value. The smaller the standard error, the more precise the estimate. The standard error of the coefficient is always positive. The standard error of correlation coefficient is given by

$$\sqrt{\frac{1 - R^2}{n - 2}}$$

R^2 - coefficient of determination
n- Number of observations

2.2.4. Decision Factor

Decision factor is the difference between correlation coefficient and standard error. The one with highest value of decision factor is said to be the best fitting one. Here, the model with highest value of decision factor will be the model best matching with the observed field data.

Decision factor (η) = Coefficient of determination (R^2) – Standard error (SE)

2.2.5. SPSS

SPSS Statistics is a software package used for logical batched and non-batched statistical analysis. The software name originally stands for Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. Bivariate statistics is also included in the base software. One way ANOVA test and Correlation Coefficient can be analysed using this software.

III. EXPERIMENTAL WORKS

3.1Agricultural area

The set of data were collected from an Agricultural area. The infiltration test was conducted at the site using double ring infiltrometer. The initial moisture content of the selected area was determined by adopting oven dry method and was found to be 10.71%. The soil was classified on the basis of USDA classification. The type of soil was identified as sand. From the observed value the infiltration rate was determined as shown in the table 1

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

Table 1: Infiltration rate for the agricultural area

Time (min)	Volume of water added (cm ³)	Infiltration Rate (cm/hr)
5	3500	59.66
10	2700	46.02
15	2200	37.50
20	2100	35.79
25	2000	34.09
30	1900	32.38
35	1900	32.38
40	2000	34.09
45	1650	28.12
50	1500	25.57
55	1700	28.98
60	1500	25.57
65	1310	22.33
70	1400	23.86
75	1390	23.69
80	1300	22.16
85	1500	25.57
90	1280	21.82
95	1200	20.45
100	1200	20.45
105	1200	20.45

The constant infiltration capacity of the Agricultural area was found to be 20.45 cm/hr.

IV. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Comparison of field infiltration data with Horton's model, Green Ampt's model and Kostiakov model was done. By making use of the parameters defined earlier, Horton's model values, Green Ampt's model values and Kostiakov's model values are determined and is furnished in Table- 2

Table 2: Comparison of Infiltration Rates in cm/hr

Time (min)	Field infiltration rate (cm/hr)	Horton's infiltration model (cm/hr)	Green Ampt's infiltration model (cm/hr)	Kostiakov's infiltration model (cm/hr)
5	59.63	65.56	29.795	61.53
10	45.9	59.48	26.814	47.84
15	37.46	54.27	25.83	41.37

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

20	35.78	49.91	25.35	37.43
25	33.97	45.85	25.04	34.44
30	32.28	42.42	24.84	32.23
35	32.28	39.58	24.71	30.56
40	33.97	37.11	24.6	29.17
45	28.07	34.71	24.51	27.85
50	25.54	32.86	24.45	26.86
55	28.91	31.14	24.39	25.92
60	25.54	29.69	24.34	25.11
65	22.28	28.49	24.3	24.43
70	23.85	27.36	24.27	23.75
75	23.61	26.43	24.24	23.18
80	22.04	25.65	24.21	22.66
85	25.54	24.97	24.19	22.19
90	21.8	24.31	24.16	21.7
95	20.36	23.8	24.15	21.3
100	20.36	23.32	24.13	20.89
105	20.36	22.93	24.11	20.53

Figure 3 shows the comparison between observed infiltration rate and infiltration rate obtained from different models




Figure 3: Comparison of infiltration rate

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

One way ANOVA test was performed to find the significance of the infiltration models. The permissible level of significance was 0.05 and all the data obtained were within the permissible limits. Therefore the data obtained was concluded to be significant for analysis. The value of significance level of the three models are shown below.

Table 3: Significance of Infiltration Models with Field data

Infiltration Models	Significance with field data
Horton's	0.001
Green Ampt's	0.006
Kostiakov's	0.001

Various statistical parameters solved for the analysis is furnished in Table 4

Table 4: Statistical Parameters

Models	Correlation Coefficient (R)	Coefficient of Determination (R^2)	Number of Observations(n)	Standard Error(S E)
Horton's	0.952	0.906	21	0.070
Green Ampt's	0.945	0.893	21	0.075
Kostiakov's	0.982	0.964	21	0.043

Decision factor is the parameter which determines the best fitting model for the study area. Here, the model with highest value of decision factor will be the model best matching with the observed field data. The value of decision factor for various infiltration models are determined and furnished in Table 5

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

Table 5: Decision Factor for Infiltration Models

Infiltration Models	Decision Factor(η)
Horton's	0.836
Green Ampt's	0.818
Kostiakov's	0.921

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Best fit line for the models are plotted in SPSS and analysed for the relationship between field data and various infiltration models.




Figure 4 a: Horton's Model vs Field Data

From the best fit line Field infiltration rate

$$X = \frac{y + 1.31}{1.25}$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

Where y is corresponding Horton's model value.

Figure 4.b: Green Ampt's Model vs Field Data

From the best fit line Field infiltration rate

$$x = \frac{y - 21.1}{0.13}$$

Where (y) is corresponding Green Ampt's Model value.

Figure 4.c: Kostiakov's Model vs Field Data

From the best fit line Field infiltration rate

$$x = \frac{y + 1.3}{1.05}$$

Where (y) is corresponding Kostiakov's Model value.

V. CONCLUSIONS

From the analysis of field infiltration rate with other infiltration models at an Agricultural area, the following conclusions are arrived.

- ☐ Infiltration capacity curve for the site has been plotted.
- ☐ Constant infiltration rate for Agricultural area is obtained as 20.45 cm/hr
- ☐ Significance of field infiltration rate with other models has been analysed using one way ANOVA test and found within reliable significance level.
- ☐ Correlation of field data with various infiltration models has been studied.
- ☐ Based on decision factor parameter, it was found that Kostiakov's model is the best fit

Model with observed infiltration rate for the Agricultural area.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bruce Ratner (2009): 'A Closer Look: The Correlation Coefficient', Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing Vol:17, No.2, 2009, pp:139 – 142.
- [2] Christopher O. Akinbile(2010) : 'Comparative Analysis of Infiltration Measurements of Two Irrigated Soils in Akure, Nigeria', Pelagia Research Library Advances in Applied Science Research, Vol.1, No.1, 2010, pp: 49-57
- [3] Steven F. Sawyer (2010): 'Analysis of Variance: The Fundamental Concepts', The Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy, Vol.17, No.2, 2010, pp:27-38
- [4] Ogbe V. B.et al.(2011): 'Comparison of Four Soil Infiltration Models on A Sandy Soil In Lafia, Southern Guinea Savanna Zone of Nigeria', Production Agriculture and Technology Journal, Vol. 7 No. 2December 2011, pp: 116-126
- [5] C. L. Jejurkar and Dr. M. P. Rajurkar(2012):, ' Infiltration studies for varying land cover conditions', International Journal Of Computational Engineering Research, Vol. 2, Issue No.1, Jan-Feb 2012, pp: 072-076
- [6] Akintoye and OluyemiAyorinde (2012): 'The Effects of Land use on the Infiltration Capacity of Coastal Plain Soils ofCalabar-Nigeria'International Journal on Applied Science and Technology,Vol. 2, No.2, February 2012
- [7] JagdaleSatyawandagadul and Nimbalkar P. T.(2012): 'Infiltration Studies Of Different Soils Under Different Soil Conditions And Comparison Of Infiltration Models With Field Data', International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology, Vol.03, Issue 02, April-June 2012, pp:154-157
- [8] IekeWulanAyu et al. (2013): 'Assessment of Infiltration Rate under Different Drylands Types in Unter-IwesSub-district Sumbawa Besar, Indonesia', Journal of Natural Sciences Research, Vol.3, No.10, 2013, pp: 71-77
- [9] Magnus U. Igboekwe1 and Ruth U. Adindu(2014): 'Use of Kostiakov's Infiltration Model on Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike Soils, Southeastern, Nigeria', Journal of Water Resource and Protection, Vol. 6, July 2014,pp: 888-894
- [10] SreenivasuluDandagala et al. (2016): 'A Comparative Study of Infiltration Rate at Selected Sites on Dosavanka River Basin', International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, Volume 6, Issue 9, September 2016, pp: 151-154

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 6, June 2019

- [11] Poojashree B.P and Bhavya P(2016): 'Determination of Infiltration Rate of Soils using Double Ring Infiltrometer – Study of Soil Characteristics of Kundapura, Udipi Dist.', International Journal for Science and Research in Technology, Vol. 2, Issue 10 October 2016, pp:26-28
- [12] Gayatri Das, Mimi Das Saikia(2016): 'Experimental Study on Infiltration in Guwahati Using Double Ring Infiltrometer', International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 5, Issue 12, December 2016, pp: 20900-20905
- [13] Igbadun H. E (2016) : 'Performance of Selected Water Infiltration Models in Sandy Clay Loam Soil in Samaru Zaria', Global Journal of Researches in Engineering: General Engineering, Vol.16, No. 4, 2016, pp:8-14
- [14] Parveen Sihag, N.K. Tiwari, Subodh Ranjan(2017): 'Estimation and inter-comparison of infiltration models', Journal of the International Water Association, Vol. 31, April 2017, pp:34–43
- [15] Arora, K.R., "Irrigation, Water Power and Water Resources Engineering", Standard Publishers Distributors, New Delhi, 2009.
- [16] Garg S.K, "Irrigation Engineering and Hydraulic Structures", Khanna Publishers, New Delhi, 2006.
- [17] Modi. P. N. "Irrigation, Water Resources and Water Power Engineering", S.B.H Publishers and Distributors, New Delhi, 2009.
- [18] Punmia B.C. Ashok K Jain, Arun K Jain, B. B. L Pande, "Irrigation and Water Power Engineering", Laxmi Publications (P) Ltd., 2010.